Syllabus Reading List

Updates on the Role of Article III's Injury-in-Fact Requirement in Privacy Class Actions

Panelists: David Poell, Josef Ansorge

Date & Time: Friday, May 10, 2024: 10:10 AM-11:10 AM

Location: The George Washington University - University Student Center (3rd Floor)

800 21st St NW, Washington, DC 20052

- **1.** Emily Bazelon, *How 'History and Tradition' Rulings Are Changing American Law*, N.Y. Times Mag. (Apr. 29, 2024), https://www.nytimes.com/2024/04/29/magazine/history-tradition-law-conservative-judges.html.
- **2.** Fontanez v. Wolverine World Wide, Inc., 647 F. Supp. 3d 1286 (M.D. Fla. Dec. 27, 2022) (finding no standing for alleged Florida Telephone Solicitation Act claim based on receipt of one unsolicited text message).
- **3.** *Drazen v. GoDaddy.com, LLC*, 74 F.4th 1336 (11th Cir. 2023) (en banc) (holding that consumers who received a single unsolicited text message suffered concrete injury necessary for concrete injury), *overruling* 41 F.4th 1354 (11th Cir. 2022), and *abrogating Fontanez, supra*.
- **4.** Church v. Collection Bureau of the Hudson Valley, Inc., --- F. Supp. 3d ----, 2023 WL 8185669, at *2-15 (D.N.J. Nov. 27, 2023) (finding no standing for alleged Fair Debt Collection Practices Act claim because there was no "traditional" tort sufficiently similar to the underlying statutory violation).
- **5.** Barclift v. Keystone Credit Servs., LLC, 93 F.4th **136-48** (3d Cir. Feb. 16, 2024) (holding, as a matter of first impression, that the "kind of harm" test, as opposed to the "element-for-element" approach, is the proper framework for analyzing whether intangible harms suffice as a concrete injury for Art. III standing purposes).
 - *But see* 93 F.4th at **148-63** (Matey, J., concurring in part, dissenting in part, and dissenting in the judgment).
- **6.** Eletson Holdings, Inc. v. Levona Holdings Ltd., --- F. Supp. 3d. ---, 2024 WL 1724592, at *19-25 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 19, 2024) (holding that alleged breach of contract, without actual harm, was sufficient for Art. III standing and noting Circuit split).
- **7.** *James v. Walt Disney Co.*, --- F. Supp. 3d ----, 2023 WL 7392285, at *3-7 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 8, 2023) (holding that website visitors had alleged enough facts to establish Art. III standing at the pleading stage).
- **8.** *Deanda v. Becerra*, 96 F.4th 750, **754-60** (5th Cir. Mar. 12, 2024) (conducting full-scale *TransUnion* standing analysis to determine that aggrieved father had standing because his alleged injuries fell within an "enduring American tradition").